

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10 082,219	02/26/2002	Jinichiro Kato	01197.0228	2623
75	90 12.31 2002			
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow,			EXAMINER	
Garrett & Dunn 1300 I Street, N	er, L.L.P.		EDWARDS, NEWTON O	
Washington, DO	20005-3315		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1774	
			DATE MAILED: 12/31/2002	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit	Group Art Unit	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet	beneath the correspondence a	ddress-	
eriod for Reply	7			
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO FE THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MA	AILING DATE	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	ply within the statutory r , expire SIX (6) MONTHS ute. cause the applicatio	ninimum of thirty (30) days will be cons from the mailing date of this communi n to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C.	idered timely. cation. § 133).	
etatus				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	· · ·	
☐ This action is FINAL .				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935	for formal matters, p C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 2	rosecution as to the merits is a 13.	closed in	
Disposition of Claims				
Disposition of Claims / - 2 >				
Of the above claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from co	onsideration.	
☐ Claim(s)		is/are allowed.		
☐ Claim(s)		is/are rejected.		
□ Claim(s) / - ≥ 3		are subject to restriction	or election	
Application Papers		requirement		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on				
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ted to by the Examin	er		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)				
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority to	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	9 (a)(d).		
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:				
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been r	eceived.			
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been r	eceived in Applicatio	n No		
□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document				
in this national stage application from the International				
*Certified copies not received:			·	
Attachment(s)				
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No.	o(s)	☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413		
□ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892		☐ Notice of Informal Patent Appli	cation, PTO-152	
☐ Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94		☐ Other		
	- '			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No. ____

Application/Control Number: 10/082,219

Art Unit: 1774

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-6, drawn to polyketone fibers, classified in class 428, subclass 364.
- Il Claims 7-15, drawn to polyketone solution, classified in class 528, subclass various.
- III. Claims 16-19, drawn to a process of making polyketone fibers, classified in class 264, subclass 172+.
- IV. Claim 20, drawn to twist yarn cord, classified in class 57, subclass 236.
- V. Claim 21, drawn to a coated cord, classified in class 152, subclass 451.
- VI. Claims 22 and 23, drawn to a tire belt or building material, classified in class 152 or 474 or 52, subclass various.

If group 6 is elected applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species of claim 23.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions group 1 and group 3 are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by a materially different product such as spinning, extruding drawing, and winding.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above

Application/Control Number: 10/082,219

Art Unit: 1774

and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group 1 is not required for Group 6, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group 1 is not required for Group 5, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group 1 is not required for Group 4, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group 1 is not required for Group 3, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group 1 is not required for Group 2, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Arthur Garrett on 12/3/2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Mr. Garrett requested a written restriction.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Newton Edwards at telephone number 703-308-0767.

THE DIMENTAL !